

Gernot Hoffmann

Color management signal flow diagrams

CMS flow charts

2013 / 2014

## Table of contents

1 Introduction	3
2 Nomenclature for colors, grays and spots	4 – 5
3 Tone reproduction curves (TRC)	6
4 Gray and black	7
5 Convert to profile: RGB → RGB	8
6 Convert to profile: RGB → CMYK	9
7 Assign a profile: RGB	10
8 Assign a profile: CMYK	11
9 Make a screenshot: RGB → RGB	12
10 Make a screenshot: CMYK → RGB	13
11 Show a screenshot: RGB	14
12 Soft proof: RGB → RGB / numbers not preserved	15
13 Soft proof: RGB → RGB / numbers preserved	16
14 Soft proof: RGB → CMYK	17
15 Soft proof: CMYK → CMYK / numbers not preserved	18
16 Soft proof: CMYK → CMYK / numbers preserved	19
17 Soft proof: Gray → Gray / numbers not preserved	20
18 Soft proof: Gray → Gray / numbers preserved	21
19 Soft proof: RGB → Monitor Profile / numbers not preserved	22
20 Soft proof: RGB → Monitor Profile / numbers preserved	23
21 Soft proof: RGB → <i>Monitor RGB</i> (Photoshop nomenclature)	24
22 Printing by an ideal RIP (Raster image processor)	25 – 26
23 Poster printing by RIP	27
24 Proof printing by RIP	28
25 Offset printing by RIP	29
26 References	30 – 34

Use page number area for jumping to Table of contents →

## 1 Introduction

This document contains a couple of signal flow diagrams for color management applications. While everything is based on experiences with *Adobe Photoshop* for image processing, *PageMaker* or *InDesign* for page design and *Acrobat* for handling PDFs, not everything refers directly to these programs.

The program names are registered trademarks.

The author had used all over the years a couple of raster image processors (RIPs) for printing, where practically no program followed clearly understandable concepts:

Some features may be hidden without access to complete parameter sets, e.g. without a choice of the rendering intent for printing CIE Lab documents. The choice of CIE Lab inputs may not even exist as menu item, though these graphics are accepted and printed.

For a certain wide format inkjet Epson 7890, which delivers, generally spoken, excellent results, it is entirely impossible to print B/W-images by K-only, which means in this case by the available black and gray inks. The printer driver uses the so-called HTM-module, which performs a completely new separation which is based on all inks: cyan, magenta, yellow, black, light cyan, light magenta, gray, light gray.

Thus, one finds in this document signal flow diagrams for a kind of hypothetical RIP instead of a really existing one.

This document is not a tutorial but a basis for discussions about workflows. It is assumed that the reader is already familiar with color management. The author would be grateful for contributions, discussions and corrections.

## 2 Nomenclature for colors, grays and spots

### Colors

WP		White point of an RGB working space or RGB profile
rTRC	$RGB \rightarrow RGB_{linear} \quad x \rightarrow y$	Tone reproduction curve of an RGB working space or RGB profile, x and y range 0...1, e.g. $y=x^{2.2}$
rTRC <sup>-1</sup>	$RGB \leftarrow RGB_{linear} \quad y \leftarrow x$	Inverse tone reproduction curve, e.g. $y = x^{1/2.2}$
sRGB		Standard RGB      WP: D65   TRC: $y=x^{2.4}$ (modified linear slope for effective 'gamma' 2.2)
aRGB		Adobe RGB (1998)      WP: D65   TRC: $y=x^{2.2}$ (with linear slope in Photoshop)
pRGB		ProPhoto RGB      WP: D50   TRC: $y=x^{1.8}$
RGB		Any RGB space
XYZ		CIE(1931) color space XYZ, CIE Standard 1931 Colorimetric Observer, 2° observer
Lab		CIELab color space L* a* b* or simplified 'Lab', illuminant chromaticity D50
CMYK		Any CMYK space
PCS		Profile connection space XYZ for matrix-based profiles, e.g. for sRGB, aRGB, pRGB Lab for table-based RGB profiles (large monitor profiles) and for CMYK profiles
VRAM		Video memory on graphics card (image memory)
CLUT		Three functions between VRAM and (display), with default Identity $R_c = R_c(R_m), G_c = G_c(G_m), B_c = B_c(B_m)$ Functions are taken from the Monitor Profile via the so-called <i>vcgt tag</i>
Index <sub>s</sub>	RGB <sub>s</sub> , CMYK <sub>s</sub> , XYZ <sub>s</sub> , Lab <sub>s</sub> , Gray <sub>s</sub>	Source values
Index <sub>n</sub>	RGB <sub>n</sub> , CMYK <sub>n</sub> , XYZ <sub>s</sub> , Lab <sub>n</sub> , Gray <sub>n</sub>	New values after conversion
Index <sub>m</sub>	RGB <sub>m</sub>	VRAM content or copy by screenshot
Index <sub>c</sub>	RGB <sub>c</sub>	CLUT output
Index <sub>d</sub>	Lab <sub>d</sub> , XYZ <sub>d</sub>	(display) output, visible and measurable
Index <sub>cal</sub>	RGB <sub>cal</sub> , XYZ <sub>cal</sub> , Lab <sub>cal</sub>	Calibrated screenshot content

## 2 Nomenclature for colors, grays and spots continued

SP	RGB $\rightarrow$ XYZ, RGB $\rightarrow$ Lab, CMYK $\rightarrow$ Lab	Source profile,	Type AtoB in ICC profiles (Device to PCS)
SP <sup>-1</sup>	RGB $\leftarrow$ XYZ, RGB $\leftarrow$ Lab, CMYK $\leftarrow$ Lab	Inverse source profile	Type BtoA in ICC profiles (PCS to Device)
NP	RGB $\rightarrow$ XYZ, RGB $\rightarrow$ Lab, CMYK $\rightarrow$ Lab	New profile	Type AtoB in ICC profiles (Device to PCS)
NP <sup>-1</sup>	RGB $\leftarrow$ XYZ, RGB $\leftarrow$ Lab, CMYK $\leftarrow$ Lab	Inverse new profile	Type BtoA in ICC profiles (PCS to Device)
MP	RGB <sub>m</sub> $\rightarrow$ Lab <sub>d</sub> , RGB <sub>m</sub> $\rightarrow$ XYZ <sub>d</sub>	Monitor profile (contains VRAM, CLUT and display, PCS = Lab or PCS = XYZ)	
MP <sup>-1</sup>	RGB <sub>m</sub> $\leftarrow$ Lab <sub>d</sub> , RGB <sub>m</sub> $\leftarrow$ XYZ <sub>d</sub>	Inverse monitor profile	

### Gray, K-only and Spot

gTRC	Gray $\rightarrow$ Gray <sub>linear</sub> , $x \rightarrow y$	Tone reproduction curve of a Gray working space or Gray profile, x and y range 0...1, e.g. $y=x^{1.8}$
gTRC <sup>-1</sup>	Gray $\leftarrow$ Gray <sub>linear</sub> , $y \leftarrow x$	Inverse tone reproduction curve, e.g. $y=x^{1/1.8}$
kTRC	Dotsize <sub>data</sub> $\rightarrow$ Dotsize <sub>printed</sub> , $x \rightarrow y$	Tone reproduction curve for K dotsize, x and y range 0...1, e.g. $y=x^{1/1.6}$
kTRC <sup>-1</sup>	Dotsize <sub>data</sub> $\leftarrow$ Dotsize <sub>printed</sub> , $y \leftarrow x$	Inverse tone reproduction curve, e.g. $y=x^{1.6}$
sTRC	Dotsize <sub>data</sub> $\rightarrow$ Dotsize <sub>printed</sub> , $x \rightarrow y$	Tone reproduction curve for spot dotsize, x and y range 0...1, e.g. $y=x^{1/1.6}$
sTRC <sup>-1</sup>	Dotsize <sub>data</sub> $\leftarrow$ Dotsize <sub>printed</sub> , $y \leftarrow x$	Inverse tone reproduction curve, e.g. $y=x^{1.6}$
Gray <sub>con</sub>	Spot <sub>con</sub>	Connection space for Gray and Spot TRCs (linear coding)
ST	Gray <sub>s</sub> $\rightarrow$ Gray <sub>con</sub>	Source TRC
ST <sup>-1</sup>	Gray <sub>s</sub> $\leftarrow$ Gray <sub>con</sub>	Inverse source TRC
NT	Gray <sub>n</sub> $\rightarrow$ Gray <sub>con</sub>	New TRC
NT <sup>-1</sup>	Gray <sub>n</sub> $\leftarrow$ Gray <sub>con</sub>	Inverse new TRC

### 3 Tone reproduction curves (TRC)

Gamma encoding for RGB working spaces:


Linear luminance has to be Gamma encoded in order to *compensate* for the parabolic monitor transfer function, as encountered historically with cathode ray tubes. Gamma encoding is as well intended for a perceptually better balance between bright and dark tones. RGB working spaces like sRGB, aRGB and pRGB are based on gamma encoded values. Gamma encoding is done by a power function like  $y=x^{1/2.2}$ , where the number 2.2 is called *gamma*. If a calibrated monitor has the tone reproduction curve  $y=x^{2.2}$ , then file data can be sent via the graphics card without further manipulations to the monitor. Actually, a calibrated monitor has a well known tone reproduction curve, which is described by the Monitor profile. Using this TRC additionally, the monitor can reproduce the underlying input values  $RGB_{linear}$  accurately as visible outputs.

Dot gain effect for raster printing:

Printing by ink increases the effective dot size. This is called dot gain. In order to *compensate* for the printing process dot gain transfer function kTRC, for instance  $y=x^{1/1.6}$ , which is roughly shaped like a squareroot function (opposed to a parabola), the numerical values of the 'linear dot size' have to be reduced by  $kTRC^{-1}$ . On the other hand, file data are 'Gamma encoded' by gTRC, e.g. by  $y = x^{1/1.8}$  (this number 1.8 according to a tradition by Apple). Sending these file data unchanged to the printer produces already a reasonable dot gain compensation. One has to be aware that both exponents are not inverse to each other, because black and white are swapped once in the whole workflow. The bottom graphic shows the workflow from file data to printed results with correct calculations. The crude approach (no calculation) is definitely obsolete.

Actual dot gain compensation:

Nowadays the process dot gain is no more described by a simple power function or percentage. An ICC profile for a CMYK process contains as well the more complex dot gain TRC for K-only. In Photoshop it is possible to replace the simple power function by a so-called *Black Ink Profile*, which is derived directly from the respective CMYK profile. Nevertheless, gamma encoding for file data can be done by any function  $y=x^{1/g}$  with  $g=1.8, 2.0, 2.2$  or what else, even with the appropriate *Black Ink Profile*. Gamma encoding does not require any a priori knowledge of the final process (very similar to RGB gamma encoding).



## 4 Gray and black


Here we have shown the workflows somewhat refined.

Upper diagram: generating RGB-gray (three identical channels), or Grayscale (one channel, Indexed color).

Lower diagram: generating CMYK-gray (four channels, rich black) or K-only (one channel). Exponents (gamma values) are somewhat arbitrary.

There is no guarantee, that RGB-gray is printed by CMYK-gray and Grayscale by K-only. It happens that Grayscale is printed by CMYK-gray. On the other hand, some tricky printer drivers detect equal values  $R=G=B$  in RGB-gray and print therefore by K-only, assuming to do the operator a favour. This can be avoided by disturbing the balance  $R=G=B$  a little.

This is usually a safe method for printing by K-only: paste a gray channel into the K-channel of an otherwise empty CMYK file. Unfortunately this fails as well for printing by Epson 7890 with HTM-module – the printed result will be Rich black.



### Warning

InDesign CS6 and earlier versions do not handle Grayscales correctly.

Embedded profiles are ignored. The same gray image, packed by different profiles like

- Gamma 2.2
- Dot gain 20%
- Black ink ISO Coated v2

should look identical in InDesign, but they do not.

Embedded profiles are ignored by Export to PDF, though the correct mode is chosen:

- Leave color unchanged
- Embed all profiles

These Grayscales appear as Device Gray.

A discussion about these issues is here [43].

## 5 Convert to profile: RGB → RGB


A given source file with source profile (e.g. sRGB) can be converted into a new color space (e.g. aRGB) and saved as file. The appearance on the monitor display does not change, if we exclude clipping. This means: an sRGB file can be shown almost accurately on a calibrated monitor which is near to sRGB. Then a conversion into aRGB will not change the appearance.

A calibrated monitor uses modified CLUTs on the graphics card, which modifies the VRAM content a little in advance to sending the data to the monitor (or the CLUTs are part of the monitor). The total transfer function from  $RGB_m$  data to visual and measurable outputs  $Lab_d$  are described by the Monitor profile  $MP$ . Therefore it is necessary to send  $Lab$  data via the inverse Monitor profile  $MP^{-1}$  to the VRAM.

The graphic shows the situation for a *large* Monitor profile, which is table based. *Small* Monitor profiles are matrix based. In this case CIE XYZ instead of Lab is used as Profile connection space.

An image file with embedded profile is often called a *tagged* image or *tagged* file.

Parameters are Rendering intent and Black Point compensation (BPC). The latter is meaningless for sRGB, aRGB and pRGB.






### 6 Convert to profile: RGB → CMYK

A tagged RGB source file with source profile (e.g. sRGB) can be converted into a CMYK color space, e.g. ISO Coated v2 (ECI), and saved as file. In mode Relative colorimetric the appearance on the monitor display does not change for colors which are in-gamut for the destination space and the monitor. But most likely some clipping happens.

Generally spoken, any source image has to be optimized for CMYK printing. For this purpose Soft proofing is used, see chapter 14.

Parameters are Rendering intent and Black point compensation (BPC).



## 7 Assign a profile: RGB

A given RGB source file may be untagged. If we assume a color space (e.g. sRGB), then the respective profile can be assigned and the file can be saved with embedded profile, that is to say *tagged*. The numbers are not changed. In many cases a system uses sRGB anyway if no profile is embedded. If the source space is aRGB, but sRGB is assigned, then the image will look desaturated. In such a case one may try to assign aRGB. In a qualified workflow the profile is always embedded.


For web applications the profile is mostly stripped, even if it exists in the source.

Assigning a *wrong* profile has been occasionally recommended as a method of image processing. This is hardly a good advice.

Assigning a profile does not change the numbers in the file but the appearance.

Attention:

The Info Palette in Photoshop shows the numbers for the visually modified image – the numbers for the color under the cursor, probably  $RGB_m$  (besides CMYK, Lab and Gray).



## 8 Assign a profile: CMYK


A given CMYK source file may be untagged. If we assume a color space, ISO Coated v2 (ECI), then the respective profile can be assigned and the file can be saved with embedded profile, that is to say *tagged*. The numbers are not changed. The monitor representation may show whether the guess had been reasonable.

Generally spoken, single CMYK images should always be tagged. If a PDF, for instance a PDF/X-1a, does not embed profiles for single images, then the images should be made for the same color space and the respective color space should be mentioned as Rendering intent, with the profile embedded once for the whole PDF.

Assigning a profile does not change the numbers in the file but the appearance.


Attention:

The Info Palette in Photoshop shows the numbers for the visually modified image – the numbers for the color under the cursor, probably  $RGB_m$  (besides CMYK, Lab and Gray).




### 9 Make a screenshot: RGB → RGB

A screenshot is not a copy of the screen content but a copy of the VRAM content. This is here called Uncalibrated screenshot. If such a screenshot should be treated like any other image, then it is necessary a) to assign the Monitor profile and b) to convert into a Work space, which is almost always sRGB. The diagram shows the whole workflow for the generation of a 'Work screenshot'.



## 10 Make a screenshot: CMYK → RGB

A screenshot of a CMYK image shows the VRAM representation of the CMYK file. As for RGB images this here called Uncalibrated screenshot and the further treatment is the same. The diagram shows the whole workflow for the generation of a Work screenshot.




## 11 Show a screenshot: RGB

An Uncalibrated screenshot can be shown in the same environment correctly, if the Monitor profile is explicitly assigned. MP and MP<sup>-1</sup> form an identity operator. Thus the source numbers are loaded unchanged into the VRAM.

If a Calibrated screenshot has been saved with embedded profile, then it can be shown correctly by any color managed workflow, but it might be somewhat disturbing, that the final application has to use an otherwise unknown profile. In environments without color management (for instance the majority of browsers) the embedded profile will not be honoured and the appearance will be wrong.

The best solution is therefore to store a Work screenshot with embedded profile sRGB. Browsers may assume sRGB and monitors may be roughly adjusted for sRGB. In a color managed workflow the reproduction will be accurate.




## 12 Soft proof: RGB → RGB / numbers not preserved

An RGB source image is converted 'on the fly' into a different RGB color space and shown on the monitor. A difference of old and new appearance is often not directly observable. For instance a pRGB or aRGB image appears anyway with some gamut clipping on a monitor, which may be near to sRGB. A conversion into sRGB will not show a significant deviation.

But it is possible to make the areas, where gamut clipping with respect to the new color space happens, visible. One method is demonstrated. Source data are converted into  $Lab_s$  and new space data into  $Lab_n$ . If the Euclidian distance in Lab of such a pair for a pixel is larger than a certain threshold  $\varepsilon$ , then this pixel is out-of-gamut and can be marked by a signal color. This is called a gamut warning.

Further Parameters are Rendering Intent and Black point compensation (BPC). These are meaningless for RGB Work space profiles sRGB, aRGB, pRGB.

This mode simulates Convert to profile. The appearance of colors which are in-gamut in both RGB spaces and in the monitor space does not change.




### 13 Soft proof: RGB → RGB / numbers preserved

A new RGB color space is assigned to a source RGB image 'on the fly' without changing the numbers and shown on the monitor. This may be used for answering the question, which profile might belong to the source image originally. An untagged image has been opened, using sRGB preliminarily. Then one can check whether a Soft proof by aRGB or pRGB delivers more pleasing results. A gamut warning is not available.

There are no further Parameters.

This mode simulates Assign a profile. The appearance of colors changes.





## 14 Soft proof: RGB → CMYK

An RGB source image is converted 'on the fly' into a CMYK color space and shown on the monitor. The question is now, whether a conversion of the RGB image into CMYK would cause gamut clipping. Very often these effects are directly visible. For instance a bright RGB sky-blue cannot be converted into CMYK without severe deviations.



Additionally it is possible to make the areas, where gamut clipping with respect to the new color space happens, visible. One method is demonstrated. Source data are converted into  $Lab_s$  and new space data into  $Lab_n$ . If the Euclidian distance in Lab of such a pair for a pixel is larger than a certain threshold  $\epsilon$ , then this pixel is out-of-gamut and can be marked by a signal color. This is called a gamut warning.

This is the most convenient preparation for generating files for offset printing. The source image has to be modified, until the appearance becomes pleasing and areas with gamut clipping are getting small. Shifting sky-blue towards cyan and making the color a little darker solves normally the problem. There may be very small flowers on a meadow, which are out-of-gamut and can be left just so. But for a large rose flower the reds have to be modified until no gamut clipping happens, otherwise a loss of tonal differences would deteriorate the image.

Further Parameters are Rendering intent, Black point compensation (BPC), Simulate black ink, Simulate paper color.

Primarily, the appearance of colors which are in-gamut in the RGB space, in the CMYK space and in the monitor space does not change, but there are some variations by different Rendering intents and if BPC is applied and strong variations for Simulate black ink and Simulate paper color.

This mode Simulates Convert to profile.



## 15 Soft proof: CMYK → CMYK / numbers not preserved


A CMYK source image is converted 'on the fly' into a different CMYK color space and shown on the monitor. Normally, one would create two different CMYK versions, e.g. ISO Coated v2 (ECI) and SWOP, using the same RGB image. If the RGB source is not available, then the CMYK–CMYK conversion can be simulated by this mode.

Besides showing minor visual deviations it is possible to make the areas, where gamut clipping with respect to the new color space happens, visible. One method is demonstrated. Source data are converted into  $Lab_s$  and new space data into  $Lab_n$ . If the Euclidian distance in Lab of such a pair for a pixel is larger than a certain threshold  $\epsilon$ , then this pixel is out-of-gamut and can be marked by a signal color. This is called a gamut warning.

Further Parameters are Rendering intent, Black point compensation (BPC), Simulate black ink, Simulate paper color.

The appearance of colors which are in-gamut in the target CMYK space and in the monitor space does not change, if the same Parameters are used. But there are some variations using different Rendering intents and if BPC is applied and strong variations for Simulate black ink and Simulate paper color.

This mode simulates Convert to profile.




## 16 Soft proof: CMYK → CMYK / numbers preserved

A new CMYK color space is assigned to a source CMYK image 'on the fly' without changing the numbers and shown on the monitor. This may be used for answering the question, how a print might look, which has been prepared for a process ISO Coated v2 (ECI) but executed by a process SWOP. As well the simulation may be used for demonstrating a print on uncoated paper. The appearance of colors changes.

Further parameters are Simulate black ink, Simulate paper color and Black point compensation (BPC).

This mode simulates Assign a profile.




## 17 Soft proof: Gray → Gray / numbers not preserved

A Gray source image is converted 'on the fly' into a different Gray space and shown on the monitor. For an executed file conversion, one has to take care, that only TRCs instead of ICC profiles are applied, because going through Lab would generate entirely new separations, which would result in Rich black instead of K-only. For instance, a gamma=2.2 Grayscale can be converted into a gamma=1.8 Grayscale or one with a Black ink TRC. In a correct color management environment this would be a useless operation. The appearance of Grays does not change.

Further Parameters are Rendering intent and Black point compensation (BPC). Both are meaningless.

This mode simulates Convert to TRC.




## 18 Soft proof: Gray → Gray / numbers preserved

A new Gray TRC is assigned to a source Grayscale 'on the fly' without changing the numbers and shown on the monitor. This may be used for answering the question, how a print might look, which has been prepared for a process Dot gain 20% but is executed by a process Dot gain 30%, for instance by printing on uncoated paper. The appearance of Grays changes.

There are no further Parameters.

This mode simulates Assign a profile.



## 19 Soft proof: RGB → Monitor profile / numbers not preserved



An RGB source image is converted 'on the fly' into the Monitor space and shown on the monitor. A difference of old and new appearance is often not directly observable. For instance a pRGB or an aRGB image appears anyway with some gamut clipping on a monitor, which may be near to sRGB. A conversion into sRGB will not show a significant deviation.

It is possible to make the areas, where gamut clipping with respect to the Monitor space happens, visible. One method is demonstrated. Source data are converted into  $Lab_s$  and new space data into  $Lab_n$ . If the Euclidian distance in Lab of such a pair for a pixel is larger than a certain threshold  $\varepsilon$ , then this pixel is out-of-gamut and can be marked by a signal color. This is called a gamut warning.

The appearance of colors which are in-gamut in the monitor space does not change.

The only Parameter is Rendering Intent, but this is here meaningless.

This mode simulates Convert to profile.




## 20 Soft proof: RGB → Monitor profile / numbers preserved

The Monitor profile is assigned to a source RGB image 'on the fly' without changing the numbers and the result is shown on the monitor. Source data are sent via the identity operator MP, XYZtoLab, MP-1 to the monitor. This is equivalent to not applying the Monitor profile.

The appearance of colors changes a little.



There are no further Parameters.

This mode simulates Assign a profile.



## 21 Soft proof: RGB → *Monitor RGB* (Photoshop nomenclature)

The effect is the same as in mode RGB → Monitor profile / numbers preserved (previous page). Source data are sent without color management to the monitor. No profile is involved. The appearance of colors changes a little.





## 22 Printing by an ideal RIP (Raster image processor)

Use RIP Test patterns [42]

The graphics on the next page show signal flows for an ideal raster image processor, preferably printing to a PostScript printer. *Ideal* means, that the diagrams do not reflect a really existing RIP. Only necessary modes are shown. The document can contain raster images in RGB, CMYK and Gray, and as well vector images in RGB, CMYK and Gray.

RGB components require always an Input profile. A raster image may contain its own profile, but it is probably better to use the same color space for all RGB components. This profile can be assigned explicitly by the operator. An option could be: use embedded profiles if available, otherwise the explicitly assigned profile – but this would be a permanent source of uncertainty and errors. Who knows whether embedded Rendering intents or globally set intents were used?

CMYK components can be handled like RGB components, but one needs definitely an option Preserve K (or other pure inks), in order to prevent K-only components like text and lines from being newly separated.

An additional option should be Use/do-not-use the Input profile. If the Input profiles are not used, then CMYK values are sent directly to the print engine. This mode is necessary for CMYK raster images if the RGB to CMYK conversion was already based on the printer Output profile. It would be helpful for mathematical illustrations, where vector graphics were designed by only one or two inks in order to avoid blurring because of misregistration.

Gray components should not be handled like RGB or CMYK components. It is assumed that one never wants to print Grayscale by Rich black but always by K-only (which may be executed by black, gray and light gray ink). Therefore the data are not allowed to pass the Lab space. The Input profile is a TRC, the Output profile is as well a TRC, which should be derived from the complete ICC output profile as a so-called Black ink profile. There may be a choice of using no Input profile. Then the output profile should be ignored as well.

If one wants to print a gray image by Rich black, then it should be prepared as a gray RGB image with equal values  $R=G=B$  or as a correctly separated CMYK image, using the Output profile for Convert to profile. Then executed by ignoring the Input profile and sending the data directly to the print engine.

Lab components are sent through the Output profile to the print engine.

### **Modes for Rendering intents, as used in the Output profile:**

For all applications, except for CMYK data which were created using the printer Output profile (and sent directly to the print engine):

Perceptual (photographic), Relative Colorimetric without Black point compensation (BPC), Relative colorimetric with BPC, Absolute colorimetric, Lightener compensation (counteracts to optical brighteners). Mode Saturation is no more required. BPC for Grayscales.

For Lab components:

Relative Colorimetric without or with Black point compensation (BPC), Absolute colorimetric. The colorimetrically correct mode would be Absolute colorimetric, but this can be recommended only for paper which is almost neutral under D50. The printable scale does not reach from total black  $L=0$  to total white  $L=100$ . Blue-ish paper will be covered by yellow ink in the printable area. A less correct but more pleasing appearance can be achieved by Relative colorimetric.

If Lab does not seem to be reliable, then everything can be converted into pRGB and printed in the respective RGB workflow.


It is assumed that the chosen Rendering intent (separate for RGB and CMYK) overrides all settings in a source PDF, especially created by InDesign. Source image data are converted to Lab as they are, used as inputs for the Output profile and there mapped to CMYK using one of the two global Rendering intents.

### **Parameters and modes of Rasterization:**

Resolution horizontal and vertical; Normal or Microdot, eventually depending on the type of ink; Screening mode: for instance amplitude modulation (ordered dithering) or frequency modulation (stochastic dithering). Here we have many degrees of freedom, research goes on and the choice of the Screening mode may depend on the image content and the print medium as well.

## 22 Printing by an ideal RIP (Raster image processor) continued

Use  
RIP Test patterns [42]



## 23 Poster printing by RIP

Documents for poster printing (wide format printing) by RIP and inkjet in a PostScript workflow should be prepared as follows:


All raster images are defined in the same RGB color space, either sRGB or aRGB. The input profile can be explicitly assigned. Alternatively it can be taken from tagged sources. But using mixed colorspace carries a risk.

All vector graphics are defined in a CMYK space. For colorimetrically correct results the Output profile for the printer can be used as CMYK space. Data are sent directly to the print engine, ignoring Input profile and Output profile.

If the poster has to be printed later by an unknown printer (machine), then a common CMYK space may be used, like ISO Coated v2 (ECI). Data are sent through this Input profile to the Output profile, where one has to take care that K-only and eventually other pure inks are preserved.

The recommended Rendering intent is Relative colorimetric with BPC.

CMYK raster images, Gray components and Lab should be avoided, except for demonstrations of CIELab features.




## 24 Proof printing by RIP

A document has been prepared for offset printing, preferably as PDF/X-1a. The intended printing process is for instance ISO Coated v2 (ECI). Single components are not tagged, but the document contains the so called Output Intent and the respective profile once. Besides CMYK components the document can contain Spot components. For these the TRC is known. The intention is to simulate the appearance of the final offset result in advance by proof printing using a qualified inkjet.

The Input profile, sometimes called Simulation profile, for CMYK raster and vector components is ISO Coated v2 (ECI), or whatever had been used originally. The Output profile is that of the calibrated inkjet. Spot source components are converted to Lab as well. This is different to the suggested workflow for Gray. The Spot source consists of data which contain a compensation for the expected dot gain. This nonlinear distortion is stripped by sTRC, which delivers  $Y$  of CIE XYZ and then  $Spot_{con} = L$ . Using a priori known chromaticity values or Lab tables for the spot color, Lab can be calculated.

The inkjet gamut exceeds mostly that of the offset process for CMYK colors. Spot colors are offset printed by extra plates and mixed inks. Many Spots cannot be simulated by monitors or by inkjet. Therefore the proof is generally not valid for Spots. This is especially obvious for metallic offset inks. One needs definitely proofing paper, which is almost neutral under D50. Rendering intent is Absolut colorimetric. The accuracy of the simulation can be controlled by a simultaneously printed Fogra control wedge, followed by measuring its Lab values and comparing them with given error thresholds. Finally the proof print can be used to adjust the color balance of the press and the registration as well. It is not quite clear, how the color of non-neutral offset paper can be taken into account. It is practically impossible to use production paper for the proof, because one had to create a profile in advance.

Input profile and Output profile for CMYK can be combined in one DeviceLink profile. This improves the speed and may be marginally more accurate, but it does not change anything colorimetrically.




## 25 Offset printing by RIP

A document has been prepared for offset printing, preferably as PDF/X-1a. The intended printing process is for instance ISO Coated v2 (ECI). Single components are not tagged, but the document contains the so called Output intent and the respective profile once. Besides CMYK components, the document can contain Spot components.

A PDF/X-1a is the most reliable data format for offset printing. It does not contain layers and live transparency. Everything has been flattened in advance. The appearance can be tested by monitor visualization and proof printing. It does not require any profile and no TRCs. Any dot gain compensation has been taken into account. The Output intent is merely an information about the intended printing process and used for viewing the content in a color managed environment on a monitor.

Data are sent directly to the RIP, which performs only the rasterization for the platesetter (or imagesetter in older workflows).



## 26 References

- [1] G.Wyszecki + W.S.Stiles  
Color Science  
John Wiley & Sons, New York ,..., 1982
- [2] J.D.Foley + A.van Dam + St.K.Feiner + J.F.Hughes  
Computer Graphics  
Addison-Wesley, Reading Massachusetts, ..., 1993
- [3] R.W.G.Hunt  
Measuring Colour  
Fountain Press, England, 1998
- [4] R.W.G.Hunt  
The Reproduction of Colour, Sixth edition  
John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2004
- [5] E.J.Giorgianni + Th.E.Madden  
Digital Color Management  
Addison-Wesley, Reading Massachusetts ,..., 1998
- [6] R.S.Berns  
Billmeyer and Saltzman's Principles of Color Technology, Third edition  
John Wiley & Sons, New York ,..., 2000
- [7] M.D.Fairchild  
Color Appearance Models, Second Edition  
John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2005
- [8] G.Hoffmann  
CIE (1931) Color Space  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/ciexyz29082000.pdf>
- [9] G.Hoffmann  
CIE Lab Color Space  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/cielab03022003.pdf>
- [10] J.Morovič  
Color Gamut Mapping  
John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, 2008

- [11] Adobe System's Implementation of Black Point Compensation  
<http://www.color.org/AdobeBPC.pdf>
  
- [12] G.Hoffmann  
Gamut Visualizations and Out-of-gamut Distances  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/gamshow15052009.pdf>
  
- [13] International Color Consortium  
<http://www.color.org>
  
- [14] Specification ICC.1:2004-10  
[http://www.color.org/icc\\_specs2.xalter](http://www.color.org/icc_specs2.xalter)
  
- [15] Fogra Forschungsgesellschaft Druck e.V.  
Graphic Technology Research Association  
<http://www.fogra.org/?getlang=en>
  
- [16] European Color Initiative: Offset profiles  
<http://www.eci.org/doku.php?id=en:start>
  
- [17] M.Stokes + M.Anderson + S.Chandrasekar + R.Motta  
A Standard Default Color Space for the Internet - sRGB  
<http://www.w3.org/graphics/color/sRGB.html>  
1996
  
- [18] M.Nielsen + M.Stokes  
The Creation of the sRGB ICC Profile  
Year unknown, after 1998  
<http://www.srgb.com/c55.pdf> (dead link)  
Search by title or use this link  
<http://tinyurl.com/d7kwym>
  
- [19] ICC Profile Inspector  
<http://www.color.org/profileinspector.xalter>
  
- [20] B.Fraser, C.Murphy, F.Bunting  
Real World Color Management  
Peachpit Press, Berkeley,..., 2005
  
- [21] A.Sharma  
Understanding Color Management  
Thomson Delmar Learning, Clifton Park,..., 2004

- [22] Dan Margulis  
Photoshop LAB Color  
Peachpit Press, 2006, 2012
  
- [23] G.Hoffmann  
Color Management by ICC Profiles  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/cmsicc08102003.pdf>
  
- [24] G.Hoffmann  
The gamma question: Nonlinearities in the image processing workflow  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/gamquest18102001.pdf>
  
- [25] G.Hoffmann  
Measuring gamma for monitors  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/measgamma10022004.pdf>
  
- [26] G.Hoffmann  
Hardware monitor calibration (PDF)  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/caltutor270900.pdf>
  
- [27] G.Hoffmann  
Hardware monitor calibration (HTML)  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/caltutor.html>
  
- [28] H.R.Kang  
Computational Color Technology  
SPIE Press, Bellingham, 2006
  
- [29] G.Hoffmann  
Test pages for all color printers  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/a3gencolorhigh.pdf>
  
- [30] G.Hoffmann  
Printer resolution test patterns + raster tester  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/raster16052003.pdf>
  
- [31] G.Hoffmann  
Principles of color printing. Color management, rendering, scanning  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/colrend290800.pdf>
  
- [32] G.Hoffmann  
Configuration for a PostScript printer  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/oki-ps-28082005.pdf>



- [33] G.Hoffmann  
CMYK swatch book  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/swatch22112002.pdf>
- [34] G.Hoffmann  
SPOT swatch book  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/swatch16032005.pdf>
- [35] G.Hoffmann  
The Digital Munsell / LAB swatch book  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/munsell15052009.pdf>
- [36] Adobe Systems: The Munsell Color System  
<http://web.archive.org/web/20030813092028/www.adobe.com/support/techguides/color/colormodels/munsell.html>  
Use short URL:  
<http://tinyurl.com/dg9ixx>
- [37] G.Hoffmann  
Spectra for Proofing Light  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/prooflight18092003.pdf>
- [38] G.Hoffmann  
PostScript Tutor  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/pstutor22112002.pdf>
- [39] G.Hoffmann  
PostScript color calculator XYZ, xyY, Lab, RGB  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/colcalc03022006.pdf>
- [40] Everything about Color and Computers  
<http://www.efg2.com>
- [41] G.Hoffmann  
Collection of all PDFs  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/howww41a.html>
- [42] G.Hoffmann  
RIP Test patterns  
<http://docs-hoffmann.de/riptest05072013>  
4.6 MB because of ZIP compression and embedded profiles
- [43] Discussion about the handling of Grayscales in InDesign CS6  
<http://forums.adobe.com/message/5486833#5486833>

Gernot Hoffmann

July 08 / 2013 ... September 09 / 2014

E-mail: [gernot-hoffmann@docs-hoffmann.de](mailto:gernot-hoffmann@docs-hoffmann.de)

Website: [load browser / click here](#)

This document

<http://docs-hoffmann.de/cmsflow03072013.pdf>